

Spring 2015 31<sup>st</sup> Faculty-to-Faculty Conference April 24, 2015, 8:30 am - 4:00 pm Arapahoe Community College

### **Content Area Breakout Session:**

Written Communication (WRIT COMM)

## Instructions & Scribe Sheets - REVISED

WiFi:	ACCCDHE / ROcki3\$\$
<b>Smart Classroom:</b>	CDHE / ROcki3\$\$

GE Council Facilitators:	Scott Thompson, Jeff London					
Assessment Facilitators: Deborah Viles, Catherine Trouth, Kelly Stanley						
Faculty Chair:						
Scribe:						
Competency discussed:						

# **BREAKOUT GROUP INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. Proposed, revised competencies for WRIT COMM content area:
  - a. Written Communication Required
  - b. Critical Thinking Required
  - c. Information Literacy Required
  - d. Oral Communication Required for CO2 & CO3
  - e. Creative Thinking



- II. Select a chairperson and a scribe for your group; please be sure that the chairperson and scribe are not the same person.
  - Chair facilitates the discussion and keeps the group on track.
  - Scribe records the group's responses, comments, discussion. Use the attached pages or record notes electronically.
  - The scribe's sheets must be turned in to DHE staff at the end of the conference or emailed to Maia Blom (maia.blom@dhe.state.co.us).

#### SUGGESTED SCHEDULE - Please focus on the REQUIRED competencies first.

12:45 - 1:05	large group conversation on one required competency
1:05 - 1:25	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> <b>required competency</b> conversation in smaller groups (all groups discuss same competency)
1:25 - 1:35	break
1:35 - 1:55	<b>3</b> <sup>rd</sup> <b>required competency</b> conversation in smaller groups (all groups discuss same competency)
1:55 - 2:15	<b>4</b> <sup>th</sup> <b>required competency</b> conversation in smaller groups (all groups discuss same competency)
2:15 - 2:30	report out on required competency discussions & look at optional competencies, if time
2:30 - 3:00	Take-away questions

# **Guiding Questions for the Discussion:**

- 1. Compare the current gtPathways competencies to the proposed, revised competencies. In what ways can the revised competencies help you create assessments and make decisions about student learning?
- 2. Are you currently assessing these competencies? Would using the indicated learning outcomes and the rubric help improve your ability to measure this competency?
- 3. How can the revised competencies be built into your syllabi and assessment plans? Think about this idea in relation to nominating a course for gtPathways peer review.
- 4. These proposed, revised competencies contain the desired skills and dispositions needed for students to be successful in the Gen Ed courses in your discipline, subsequent coursework, and the workforce. Should any of the optional competencies be required?



# III. Final take-away of the session:

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Provide a group response (in writing and to share at "the reporting out" session) to the following 3 questions:

- a. Do these proposed, revised competencies meet the needs of learners better than the current competencies? If not, why?
- b. Can we move forward with the proposed, revised competencies for each content area?
- c. Please share any concerns or challenges you foresee.

	Comments:
(i)	Which competences are suportant? 215LOS/or Co, + 245LOS/orCoz
0	can there be addressed?
(2)	what should be included? ENK - Better Evolution to
	CO3-missing Ethical Resisancing, Require Ethical
	Reasoning. Discuss oral Communication as reg of Coz?
	3) The How much will we be heard about consistence? -
	Can we add a connetency or change a name?
	Can we mody onal communication (2) Scott says Yes.
	10 (4) Written Communicata includes information abiteray
	or nal communicata is part of our describer - can
	We just Combré them? - These are across muly ste
	disaplnes: - Overlap Between Onal + Wr Hen Communicata
	Concerns about adding on one more congretions.
	Need to somp 5: mplify, Can they bring them to gether & assess
	Neld to some 5: mplify, Can they being them to gether & assess all of this on one to so 16 need course
	V OF COLOR



Swggeston to add oral com to CO, Course
What marks difference - How are levels of stinguished?
What marks difference - How are levels of stinguished?
How many competences # of confeir, each conjetences  How will they be assessed?  They Want to Scaffold in conjetences.  Waitrad Alankar
How will they he assessed?
They Want to Scaffold in Congretences.
- Wash cal Making
CALT-
WRitten Com Missing From old
WRitten Com Missing From old #3. Critque own + otherswork is
Missing.
Missing. # G Feedback - Collaborative
What 3 the difference between Explore Content Dov.
and Compile Sources on the new
Add a Row a Competencief. That [WAC]
Content Area - not a competencief. That [WAC]
Old comp #1 Information acquisition why did this get remared?
why did this get remared!
New: Combine Khetorical Knowledget Genre
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR



proposale COI just written Communican Who is the audience on these competencies? Assessment? Gt Pathway reviewer? Concerno about Rhetrical Knowledge inculding Structure + repeated in another Critica gral comm. is most problemate Vote: All agree: W.C. SUBGROUPS assumption that reading was replaced by T LiL



		3 7 7 1					
	10000						
U							
						- 1011-0	
	***						 
				-	79		
						****	
2					ara .		 
			3-10				
			1000			0.000	 
		05- <u>14-2</u>	8		-		
				1.750.0			
		ille -			~		
			100		**		
*				1400			 
				(- III-			
<u> </u>	1	4.5					
			3				,
	-						

